

THE EFFECT OF AMBIENT AIR QUALITY ON LUNG FUNCTION,
RESPIRATORY SYMPTOMS AND BRONCHODILATOR USE AMONG
SYMPTOMATIC CHILDREN

By

Jayne Fryer, BMath GradDipMedStats

A thesis submitted for the Master of Medical Science Research Degree (Statistics)

School of Medicine and Public Health

Faculty of Health

University of Newcastle, New South Wales, Australia

May, 2006

Declaration

I hereby certify that the work embodied in this thesis is the result of original research and has not been submitted for a higher degree to any other University or Institution

Jayne Fryer

Acknowledgements

A number of people have given me support, advice, and feedback during the process of getting to this stage. I would now like to thank you all:

My supervisor Michael Hensley, who introduced me to the research topic and provided funding for my first year of research that allowed me to enrol full-time. He provided continual encouragement over the years and upon my relocation to Tasmania, was extremely generous in making himself available during work visits to Tasmania, where I am sure he would have rather been out enjoying the sites. My co-supervisor Cate D'Este, provided valuable direction in the area of statistics.

Peter Lewis provided great support during the first year of my candidature where he introduced me to the air quality literature and we spent time discussing the various epidemiological as well as statistical methodologies. Peter had developed the study design described in Chapter 2 of this thesis, during his PhD candidature.

The Menzies Research Institute allowed me to use their facilities to write up my thesis, upon relocating to Tasmania. Special thanks go to the senior biostatistician there, Leigh Blizzard, for providing feedback on Chapter 4 and Chapter 10 of this thesis.

This work was funded by the New South Wales Department of Health. The data were collected by the Newcastle Environmental Toxicology Research Unit (NETRU) as part of the second stage of the Hunter Illawarra study of Airways and Air Pollution (HISAAP). Ruth Toneguzzi and Julie Holt supervised the recruitment and follow-up of the children involved in the study. Thanks also to the following people for the supply of air quality and meteorological data and fielding my enquiries: Hiep Duc, Ian Shannon and Alan Betts (from the New South Wales Environmental Protection Agency); Lois McShane (NSW Health Pollen Study); John Watson (Pasminco Metals-Sulphide); Brian Butler and Dave Richards (BHP); David Cohen (ANSTO); Peter Stoddard (INCITEC); Carol Robson (Newcastle City Council).

Finally, I would like to pay special tribute to my fiancé Stephen. He has provided endless support and encouragement, and the fact that I am at this stage is probably a testament to his endurance, more so than mine.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	X
LIST OF TABLES	XIII
ABSTRACT	XIX
GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS	XX
DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREAS	XXIV
CHAPTER 1 . INTRODUCTION AND AIMS	1
1.1 RESPIRATORY ILLNESS INCLUDING ASTHMA	1
1.2 BURDEN OF ILLNESS	2
1.3 DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT	4
1.4 RISK FACTORS	4
1.5 RESPIRATORY ILLNESS RELATED TO THE ENVIRONMENT	5
1.5.1 <i>Air pollution</i>	6
1.5.2 <i>Aeroallergens</i>	12
1.6 MODELLING THE EFFECT OF AIR QUALITY ON HEALTH OUTCOMES	14
1.7 AIR POLLUTION STANDARDS	14
1.8 THE HUNTER PERSPECTIVE	16
1.9 AIMS	17
1.10 STRUCTURE OF THESIS	18
CHAPTER 2 . STUDY METHODS	19
2.1 SAMPLING METHODS	19
2.2 STUDY METHODS	23
2.2.1 <i>Initial interview</i>	23
2.2.2 <i>Follow-up interviews</i>	24
2.2.3 <i>Final Interview</i>	25
2.2.4 <i>Diary</i>	25
2.3 STUDY AREAS	26
2.3.1 <i>Stockton</i>	27
2.3.2 <i>Wallsend</i>	27
2.3.3 <i>Mayfield</i>	27
2.3.4 <i>Beresfield</i>	28
2.3.5 <i>North Lake Macquarie</i>	29
2.4 DESCRIPTION OF OUTCOMES	30
2.4.1 <i>Lung function</i>	30

2.4.2	<i>Cough symptoms</i>	31
2.4.3	<i>Bronchodilator medication usage</i>	31
2.5	MEASUREMENT OF AIR QUALITY AND METEOROLOGICAL DATA	31
2.5.1	<i>Measurement of particulates</i>	33
2.5.2	<i>Measurement of sulphur dioxide</i>	35
2.5.3	<i>Measurement of nitrogen oxide and nitrogen dioxide</i>	35
2.5.4	<i>Measurement of ozone</i>	36
2.5.5	<i>Measurement of aeroallergens</i>	36
2.6	DESCRIPTION OF OTHER STUDY FACTORS	38
2.7	DESCRIPTION OF AIR QUALITY AND METEOROLOGICAL DATA RECEIVED	38
2.7.1	<i>Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation (ANSTO)</i>	38
2.7.2	<i>Broken Hill Proprietary (BHP)</i>	38
2.7.3	<i>NSW Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)</i>	39
2.7.4	<i>Hunter Valley Research Foundation (HVRF)</i>	39
2.7.5	<i>Incitec</i>	39
2.7.6	<i>Lake Macquarie City Council (LMCC)</i>	39
2.7.7	<i>National Climate Centre / Bureau of Meteorology</i>	40
2.7.8	<i>Newcastle City Council (NCC)</i>	40
2.7.9	<i>Wallsend Primary School</i>	40
2.7.10	<i>NSW Health Pollen Study</i>	40
2.7.11	<i>Pasminco Metals-Sulphide (PMS)</i>	40
2.8	SUMMARY	42
CHAPTER 3 . LITERATURE REVIEW		44
3.1	STUDY DESIGNS	44
3.1.1	<i>Panel studies including diary studies</i>	45
3.1.2	<i>Time series studies</i>	46
3.1.3	<i>Episode studies and case-crossover design</i>	47
3.1.4	<i>Cross-sectional studies and cohort studies</i>	47
3.2	OVERVIEW OF LONGITUDINAL STUDIES	48
3.3	AIR QUALITY MEASUREMENT	66
3.3.1	<i>Approach</i>	66
3.3.2	<i>Averaging time of pollutants</i>	68
3.3.3	<i>Missing air quality data</i>	69
3.4	OUTCOME MEASUREMENT	69
3.4.1	<i>Lung function</i>	69

3.4.2	<i>Symptoms</i>	71
3.4.3	<i>Medication use</i>	72
3.4.4	<i>Criteria for exclusion of outcome data and exclusion of subjects</i>	72
3.5	MODELLING PROCEDURES	73
3.5.1	<i>Removing seasonality and trend</i>	74
3.5.2	<i>Autocorrelation</i>	76
3.5.3	<i>Lag effect of pollutants</i>	77
3.5.4	<i>Model representation of air quality and meteorological variables</i>	80
3.5.5	<i>Assessment of effect modification by climate-related factors and pollutants</i>	83
3.5.6	<i>Model fit</i>	84
3.5.7	<i>Sensitivity analyses</i>	84
3.5.8	<i>Overdispersion</i>	84
3.5.9	<i>Missing outcome data</i>	84
3.5.10	<i>Subgroup analysis, adjustment for confounding and effect modification by non-climate-related factors</i>	87
3.6	TYPE OF MODELS USED IN DIARY STUDIES	89
3.6.1	<i>Aggregate analysis</i>	94
3.6.2	<i>Naïve Analysis</i>	97
3.6.3	<i>The use of robust standard errors</i>	98
3.6.4	<i>Random intercept models</i>	98
3.6.5	<i>Korn-Whittemore (K-W) modelling approach</i>	99
3.6.6	<i>Generalised Estimating Equations (GEEs)</i>	101
3.6.7	<i>Generalised Linear Mixed Models (GLMMs)</i>	104
3.6.8	<i>Transitional models</i>	106
3.6.9	<i>Multilevel models</i>	107
3.7	SUMMARY	109
CHAPTER 4 . DATA MANAGEMENT AND STATISTICAL METHODS		110
4.1	DATA MANAGEMENT OF HEALTH DATA	110
4.1.1	<i>Data collection period</i>	110
4.1.2	<i>Aggregate analysis period and non-aggregate analysis period</i>	111
4.1.3	<i>Data management of diary information</i>	115
4.1.4	<i>Data management of other Phase II files</i>	116
4.1.5	<i>Data management of the Phase I files</i>	116
4.2	DATA MANAGEMENT OF AIR QUALITY AND METEOROLOGICAL DATA	116
4.2.1	<i>Data management of pollution data</i>	116

4.2.2	<i>Assessment of measurement error in pollution data</i>	117
4.2.3	<i>Data management of aeroallergen data</i>	118
4.2.4	<i>Data management of meteorological data</i>	118
4.3	STATISTICAL METHODS: DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSIS OF STUDY POPULATION AND HEALTH OUTCOMES	120
4.4	STATISTICAL METHODS: DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSIS OF AIR QUALITY DATA	124
4.4.1	<i>Reliability and validity of air quality data</i>	124
4.4.2	<i>The relationship between PM10 and TSP</i>	125
4.4.3	<i>Time of day analysis of particulates and sulphur dioxide</i>	125
4.4.4	<i>Descriptive analysis</i>	126
4.5	STATISTICAL METHODS: EFFECT OF AIR QUALITY ON HEALTH OUTCOMES	126
4.5.1	<i>Introduction</i>	126
4.5.2	<i>Selection of a core set of climate-related covariates</i>	131
4.5.3	<i>Aggregate modelling approach</i>	134
4.5.4	<i>Korn-Whittemore modelling approach</i>	135
4.5.5	<i>GEE modelling approach</i>	137
4.6	ASSESSMENT OF EFFECT MODIFICATION BY TIME-STATIONARY COVARIATES (PM10 ONLY)	142
4.7	ADJUSTMENT FOR CONFOUNDING AND ASSESSMENT OF EFFECT MODIFICATION BY TIME-VARYING COVARIATES USING THE GEE MODEL (PM10 ONLY)	144
4.8	MULTI-POLLUTANT ANALYSIS USING THE GEE MODEL	146
4.9	SUMMARY	146
CHAPTER 5 . RESULTS – DESCRIPTION OF STUDY POPULATION AND HEALTH OUTCOMES		147
5.1	PARTICIPATION	147
5.2	INITIAL AND FINAL INTERVIEW	152
5.3	DIARY	153
5.3.1	<i>Completeness of diary data</i>	153
5.3.2	<i>Characteristics of children commencing the diary</i>	156
5.3.3	<i>Health outcomes</i>	160
5.3.4	<i>Aggregate analysis</i>	163
5.4	SUMMARY	177
CHAPTER 6 . RESULTS – DESCRIPTION OF AIR POLLUTION, AEROALLERGEN AND METEOROLOGICAL DATA		179

6.1	COMPLETENESS OF AIR QUALITY AND METEOROLOGICAL DATA	179
6.2	DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSIS OF AIR POLLUTANTS	181
6.2.1	<i>Particulates including PM10</i>	183
6.2.2	<i>Sulphur dioxide</i>	184
6.2.3	<i>Nitrogen dioxide</i>	185
6.2.4	<i>Ozone</i>	185
6.3	DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSIS OF AEROALLERGENS	191
6.4	DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSIS OF METEOROLOGICAL DATA	204
6.5	CORRELATIONS	207
6.6	SUMMARY	213
CHAPTER 7 . RESULTS – EFFECT OF AIR QUALITY ON EVENING PEAK FLOW		
.....		215
7.1	INDIVIDUAL EXPOSURE MODELS	215
7.1.1	<i>Particulates (PM10)</i>	215
7.1.2	<i>Sulphur dioxide (SO₂)</i>	230
7.1.3	<i>Aeroallergens</i>	233
7.2	ASSESSMENT OF EFFECT MODIFICATION BY TIME-STATIONARY COVARIATES (PM10)	240
7.3	ADJUSTMENT FOR POTENTIAL CONFOUNDING AND ASSESSMENT OF EFFECT MODIFICATION BY TIME-VARYING COVARIATES USING THE GEE MODEL (PM10)	244
7.4	MULTI-POLLUTANT ANALYSIS USING THE GEE MODEL	246
7.5	SUMMARY	247
CHAPTER 8 . RESULTS – EFFECT OF AIR QUALITY ON PREVALENCE OF DAY COUGH		249
8.1	INTRODUCTION	249
8.2	PARTICULATES (PM10)	250
8.3	SULPHUR DIOXIDE (SO ₂)	252
8.4	SUMMARY	254
CHAPTER 9 . RESULTS – EFFECT OF AIR QUALITY ON PREVALENCE OF BRONCHODILATOR USE		255
9.1	INTRODUCTION	255
9.2	PARTICULATES (PM10)	256
9.3	SULPHUR DIOXIDE (SO ₂)	259
9.4	SUMMARY	260

CHAPTER 10 . DISCUSSION.....	261
10.1 SUMMARY OF MAIN RESULTS.....	261
10.2 CONSISTENCY WITH PREVIOUS FINDINGS	262
10.2.1 <i>PM10</i>	262
10.2.2 <i>Sulphur dioxide</i>	267
10.2.3 <i>Pollen</i>	268
10.2.4 <i>Fungi including Alternaria</i>	269
10.3 STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THE STUDY DESIGN	269
10.3.1 <i>Strengths of the study</i>	270
10.3.2 <i>Limitations of the study</i>	271
10.4 STATISTICAL ISSUES.....	282
10.4.1 <i>Modelling approaches</i>	282
10.4.2 <i>Assessment of effect modification by time-stationary covariates</i>	284
10.4.3 <i>Adjustment for climate-related covariates</i>	285
10.4.4 <i>Pooling results across study areas</i>	285
10.4.5 <i>Lags for the pollutant</i>	286
10.4.6 <i>Missing outcome data</i>	286
10.4.7 <i>Measures of effect</i>	287
10.4.8 <i>Adjustment for exposure-measurement error</i>	288
10.5 SIGNIFICANCE AND IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS.....	288
REFERENCES.....	290

APPENDICES

- A** Additional information on the study design used in HISAAP
- B** Questionnaire booklet used in phase II of HISAAP
- C** Questionnaire booklet used in phase I of HISAAP
- D** Description of air quality and meteorological data collected in phase II of HISAAP

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1.1. NORMAL LUNGS AND LUNGS DURING AN ASTHMA ATTACK	2
FIGURE 1.2. DETERMINANTS OF EXPOSURE TO AIR POLLUTION THAT UNDERLIE THE DEVELOPMENT OF HEALTH EFFECTS	6
FIGURE 1.3. PM10 AIR POLLUTION SIZE AND COMPOSITION	8
FIGURE 2.1. THE STUDY AREAS SHOWING THE POSITIONS OF AIR QUALITY MONITORING SITES, INDUSTRIAL SITES AND THE SCHOOLS INVOLVED IN THE STUDY	21
FIGURE 2.2. SPIROMETRY MEASURED AT INITIAL INTERVIEW	24
FIGURE 2.3. TIME PERIOD OF DATA COLLECTION, BY SCHOOL	26
FIGURE 2.4. BHP STEELWORKS AT MAYFIELD IN 1997	28
FIGURE 2.5. INDUSTRY AT NORTH LAKE MACQUARIE IN 1997	29
FIGURE 2.6. MONITORING STATION AT NORTH LAKE MACQUARIE IN 1997	32
FIGURE 2.7. SCHEMATIC OF A HIGH-VOLUME AIR SAMPLER USED TO MEASURE TOTAL SUSPENDED PARTICULATES	34
FIGURE 2.8. BURKARD SPORE TRAP	36
FIGURE 3.1. GRAPHICAL ILLUSTRATION OF THE HYPOTHESISED IMPACT OF PM10 ON EVENING PEAK FLOW OVER TIME	78
FIGURE 3.2. EFFECT OF MISSING OUTCOME DATA ON THE ESTIMATE OF EFFECT OF POLLUTION ON RESPIRATORY HEALTH	85
FIGURE 3.3. DIAGRAMMATIC ILLUSTRATION OF 6-STAGE MULTILEVEL MODEL	108
FIGURE 4.1. NUMBER OF CHILDREN PARTICIPATING, BY WEEK OF STUDY PERIOD *	110
FIGURE 4.2. NUMBER OF SUBJECTS COMPLETING THE DIARY ON EACH DAY DURING THE AGGREGATE ANALYSIS PERIOD *	112
FIGURE 4.3. DESCRIPTION OF STUDY PERIOD, AGGREGATE ANALYSIS PERIOD, AND NON- AGGREGATE ANALYSIS PERIOD *	114
FIGURE 4.4. SCATTERPLOT OF PRECISION VERSUS ESTIMATE OF CHILD-SPECIFIC REGRESSION COEFFICIENT, FOR EACH WAVE SEPARATELY	136
FIGURE 4.5. SUMMARY OF RESPIRATORY HEALTH AND AIR QUALITY DATA COLLECTION RECORDED EACH DAY DURING THE STUDY PERIOD	141
FIGURE 5.1. STUDY PARTICIPATION FLOWCHART	151
FIGURE 5.2. DISTRIBUTION OF DAY COUGH SYMPTOMS AMONGST 280 CHILDREN DURING THE 28-WEEK DIARY PERIOD, ALL STUDY AREAS COMBINED. N=54,880 CHILD DAYS *	161

FIGURE 5.3. PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN REPORTING EACH SYMPTOM DURING 28-WEEK STUDY PERIOD: NEVER, LESS THAN ONCE A WEEK, 1-3 TIMES A WEEK ON AVERAGE, 4-6 TIMES A WEEK ON AVERAGE, EVERY DAY. TOTAL OF 280 CHILDREN.....	162
FIGURE 5.4. TIMEPLOT OF HEALTH OUTCOMES, BY STUDY AREA	167
FIGURE 5.5. PERCENTAGE OF SUBJECTS COMPLETING SOME OF THE DIARY, AND PREVALENCE OF KEY HEALTH OUTCOMES, FOR EACH DAY IN THE STUDY PERIOD: STOCKTON *	172
FIGURE 5.6. PERCENTAGE OF SUBJECTS COMPLETING SOME OF THE DIARY, AND PREVALENCE OF KEY HEALTH OUTCOMES, FOR EACH DAY IN THE STUDY PERIOD: WALLSEND *	173
FIGURE 5.7. PERCENTAGE OF SUBJECTS COMPLETING SOME OF THE DIARY, AND PREVALENCE OF KEY HEALTH OUTCOMES, FOR EACH DAY IN THE STUDY PERIOD: MAYFIELD *	174
FIGURE 5.8. PERCENTAGE OF SUBJECTS COMPLETING SOME OF THE DIARY, AND PREVALENCE OF KEY HEALTH OUTCOMES, FOR EACH DAY IN THE STUDY PERIOD: BERESFIELD *	175
FIGURE 5.9. PERCENTAGE OF SUBJECTS COMPLETING SOME OF THE DIARY, AND PREVALENCE OF KEY HEALTH OUTCOMES, FOR EACH DAY IN THE STUDY PERIOD: NORTH LAKE MACQUARIE *	176
FIGURE 6.1. DAILY POLLUTANT CONCENTRATIONS DURING STUDY PERIOD AT STOCKTON..	186
FIGURE 6.2. DAILY POLLUTANT CONCENTRATIONS DURING STUDY PERIOD AT WALLSEND .	187
FIGURE 6.3. DAILY POLLUTANT CONCENTRATIONS DURING STUDY PERIOD AT MAYFIELD ..	188
FIGURE 6.4. DAILY POLLUTANT CONCENTRATIONS DURING STUDY PERIOD AT BERESFIELD	189
FIGURE 6.5. DAILY POLLUTANT CONCENTRATIONS DURING STUDY PERIOD AT NORTH LAKE MACQUARIE	190
FIGURE 6.6. DAILY POLLEN CONCENTRATIONS DURING STUDY PERIOD AT STOCKTON.....	194
FIGURE 6.7. DAILY UNCLASSIFIED POLLEN AND ALTERNARIA CONCENTRATIONS DURING STUDY PERIOD AT STOCKTON.....	195
FIGURE 6.8. DAILY POLLEN CONCENTRATIONS DURING STUDY PERIOD AT WALLSEND.....	196
FIGURE 6.9. DAILY UNCLASSIFIED POLLEN AND ALTERNARIA CONCENTRATIONS DURING STUDY PERIOD AT WALLSEND.....	197
FIGURE 6.10. DAILY POLLEN CONCENTRATIONS DURING STUDY PERIOD AT MAYFIELD.....	198
FIGURE 6.11. DAILY UNCLASSIFIED POLLEN AND ALTERNARIA CONCENTRATIONS DURING STUDY PERIOD AT MAYFIELD.....	199
FIGURE 6.12. DAILY POLLEN CONCENTRATIONS DURING STUDY PERIOD AT BERESFIELD.....	200

FIGURE 6.13. DAILY UNCLASSIFIED POLLEN AND ALTERNARIA CONCENTRATIONS DURING STUDY PERIOD AT BERESFIELD.....	201
FIGURE 6.14. DAILY POLLEN CONCENTRATIONS DURING STUDY PERIOD AT NORTH LAKE MACQUARIE	202
FIGURE 6.15. DAILY UNCLASSIFIED POLLEN AND ALTERNARIA CONCENTRATIONS DURING STUDY PERIOD AT NORTH LAKE MACQUARIE.....	203
FIGURE 6.16. DAILY TEMPERATURE AND RAINFALL DURING STUDY PERIOD.....	206
FIGURE 7.1. MEAN STANDARDISED DEVIATION IN EVENING PEAK FLOW (L/MIN) ON ANY ONE DAY AGAINST NUMBER OF DAYS ELAPSED SINCE THE START OF THE STUDY.....	216
FIGURE 7.2. MEAN STANDARDISED DEVIATION IN EVENING PEAK FLOW (L/MIN) ON ANY ONE DAY AGAINST MEAN PM10 ($\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$) RECORDED THAT DAY	217
FIGURE 7.3. TIMEPLOT OF EVENING PEAK FLOW DEVIATION (L/MIN) FOR TWO CHILDREN FROM THE MAYFIELD STUDY AREA.....	223
FIGURE 7.4. CHILD-SPECIFIC REGRESSIONS OF EVENING PEAK FLOW (L/MIN) AGAINST SAME DAY PM10 FOR TWO CHILDREN FROM THE MAYFIELD STUDY AREA. RESULTS FROM THE KORN-WHITTEMORE ANALYSIS	224
FIGURE 8.1. DAY COUGH PREVALENCE (FRACTION) ON ANY ONE DAY AGAINST NUMBER OF DAYS ELAPSED SINCE THE START OF THE STUDY	249
FIGURE 8.2. DAY COUGH PREVALENCE (FRACTION) ON ANY ONE DAY AGAINST MEAN PM10 ($\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$) RECORDED THAT DAY	250
FIGURE 8.3. DAY COUGH PREVALENCE (FRACTION) ON ANY ONE DAY AGAINST MAXIMUM SULPHUR DIOXIDE (PPHM) RECORDED THAT DAY	252
FIGURE 9.1. PREVALENCE OF BRONCHODILATOR USE (FRACTION) ON ANY ONE DAY AGAINST NUMBER OF DAYS ELAPSED SINCE THE START OF THE STUDY.....	255
FIGURE 9.2. PREVALENCE OF BRONCHODILATOR USE (FRACTION) ON ANY ONE DAY AGAINST MEAN PM10 ($\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$) RECORDED THAT DAY	257

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1.1. SUMMARY OF THE HEALTH EFFECTS OF PM ₁₀ ON RESPIRATORY OUTCOMES (PER 10 µG/M ³ INCREASE IN PM ₁₀)	9
TABLE 1.2. NATIONAL AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS.....	15
TABLE 2.1. LIST OF OUTCOMES FOR PHASE II OF STUDY.....	30
TABLE 2.2. FAMILIES OF POLLEN GRAINS MONITORED DURING THE STUDY PERIOD.....	37
TABLE 2.3. SOURCES OF AIR QUALITY DATA FOR PHASE II OF STUDY	41
TABLE 2.4. SOURCES OF METEOROLOGICAL DATA FOR PHASE II OF STUDY	42
TABLE 3.1. SUMMARY OF STATISTICAL METHODS USED IN DIARY STUDIES INVESTIGATING THE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN AIR POLLUTION AND RESPIRATORY HEALTH	49
TABLE 3.2. SUMMARY OF STATISTICAL METHODS USED IN SUMMER CAMP STUDIES INVESTIGATING THE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN AIR POLLUTION AND RESPIRATORY HEALTH.....	62
TABLE 3.3. SUMMARY OF STATISTICAL METHODS USED IN AIR POLLUTION EPISODE STUDIES INVESTIGATING THE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN AIR POLLUTION AND RESPIRATORY HEALTH.....	63
TABLE 3.4. SUMMARY OF STATISTICAL METHODS USED IN UNCLASSIFIED PANEL STUDIES INVESTIGATING THE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN AIR POLLUTION AND RESPIRATORY HEALTH.....	64
TABLE 3.5. REVIEW OF STATISTICAL APPROACHES THAT CAN BE USED TO ANALYSE DATA FROM PANEL STUDIES INVESTIGATING THE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN AIR POLLUTION AND RESPIRATORY HEALTH.....	91
TABLE 4.1. AGGREGATE ANALYSIS PERIOD, BY STUDY AREA.....	111
TABLE 4.2. NON-AGGREGATE ANALYSIS PERIOD, BY SCHOOL	113
TABLE 4.3. SCHOOL TERMS FOR NEW SOUTH WALES SCHOOLS IN 1994 AND 1995	121
TABLE 4.4. VARIABLES USED IN THE MODELLING OF RESPIRATORY HEALTH AGAINST AIR QUALITY	130
TABLE 4.5. CLIMATE-RELATED PREDICTOR VARIABLES USED IN THE MODELLING OF RESPIRATORY HEALTH AGAINST AIR QUALITY	131
TABLE 4.6. SENSITIVITY ANALYSES CONDUCTED ON THE 5-DAY AVERAGE HUNTER REGION GEE MODEL.....	138
TABLE 5.1. SYMPTOMS REPORTED AMONGST THE 345 CHILDREN WHO WERE ELIGIBLE FOR PHASE II, BY STUDY AREA.....	147

TABLE 5.2. CHARACTERISTICS OF CHILDREN WHO WERE WHO WERE ELIGIBLE FOR PHASE II COMPARED WITH CHARACTERISTICS OF CHILDREN WHO WERE NOT ELIGIBLE FOR PHASE II.....	148
TABLE 5.3. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE 345 CHILDREN WHOM WERE ELIGIBLE FOR PHASE II, BY STUDY AREA	149
TABLE 5.4. RESPONSE RATE, AGE AND SEX PROFILES FOR ALL ELIGIBLE CHILDREN, BY STUDY AREA AND OVERALL	152
TABLE 5.5. CLINICAL MEASUREMENTS OBTAINED AT INITIAL AND FINAL INTERVIEW	153
TABLE 5.6. NUMBER OF DAYS DIARY COMPLETED BY 285 CHILDREN, BY STUDY AREA	154
TABLE 5.7. DESCRIPTION OF COMPLETION OF INDIVIDUAL ITEMS IN THE DIARY BY 280 CHILDREN OVER 28-WEEK DIARY PERIOD, BY ITEM *	155
TABLE 5.8. CHARACTERISTICS OF 280 CHILDREN COMMENCING THE DIARY	157
TABLE 5.9. ASTHMA MEDICATION TAKEN BY 280 CHILDREN DURING THE 28-WEEK DIARY PERIOD, BY STUDY AREA	158
TABLE 5.10. ASTHMA MEDICATIONS RECORDED IN THE INITIAL INTERVIEW (AS BEING REGULARLY TAKEN), AND IN THE DIARY CARD (AS BEING TAKEN AT LEAST ONCE DURING THE 28-WEEK STUDY PERIOD).....	159
TABLE 5.11. NON ASTHMA MEDICATIONS RECORDED IN THE INITIAL INTERVIEW (AS BEING REGULARLY TAKEN), AND IN THE DIARY CARD (AS BEING TAKEN AT LEAST ONCE DURING THE STUDY PERIOD)	160
TABLE 5.12. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE 280 CHILDREN DURING THE 28-WEEK DIARY PERIOD, BY STUDY AREA.....	163
TABLE 5.13. PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN COMPLETING THE DIARY DURING THE STUDY PERIOD. TOTAL OF 280 CHILDREN; MEAN (SD) OF DAILY PERCENTAGE REPORTED.....	164
TABLE 5.14. DAILY PEAK FLOW MEASURES DURING THE STUDY PERIOD. TOTAL OF 280 CHILDREN; MEAN (SD) OF DAILY MEASURE REPORTED	164
TABLE 5.15. DAILY PREVALENCE OF SYMPTOMS DURING THE STUDY PERIOD; MEAN (SD) REPORTED	169
TABLE 5.16. DAILY INCIDENCE OF SYMPTOMS DURING THE STUDY PERIOD; MEAN (SD) REPORTED	170
TABLE 5.17. DAILY PREVALENCE OF BRONCHODILATOR USE DURING THE STUDY PERIOD; MEAN (SD) REPORTED	171
TABLE 6.1. COMPLETENESS OF AIR QUALITY AND METEOROLOGICAL DATA, BY STUDY AREA	180
TABLE 6.2. AMBIENT AIR QUALITY MEASURES DURING STUDY PERIOD, BY STUDY AREA.....	182

TABLE 6.3.	AEROALLERGEN COUNTS PER CUBIC METRE OF AIR, BY STUDY AREA	191
TABLE 6.4.	METEOROLOGICAL MEASURES BY STUDY AREA	205
TABLE 6.5.	MATRIX OF SPEARMAN'S RANK CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS BETWEEN DAILY CONCENTRATIONS OF AMBIENT AIR QUALITY MEASURES AND METEOROLOGICAL MEASURES, AT STOCKTON.....	208
TABLE 6.6.	MATRIX OF SPEARMAN'S RANK CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS BETWEEN DAILY CONCENTRATIONS OF AMBIENT AIR QUALITY MEASURES AND METEOROLOGICAL MEASURES, AT WALLSEND.....	209
TABLE 6.7.	MATRIX OF SPEARMAN'S RANK CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS BETWEEN DAILY CONCENTRATIONS OF AMBIENT AIR QUALITY MEASURES AND METEOROLOGICAL MEASURES, AT MAYFIELD.....	210
TABLE 6.8.	MATRIX OF SPEARMAN'S RANK CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS BETWEEN DAILY CONCENTRATIONS OF AMBIENT AIR QUALITY MEASURES AND METEOROLOGICAL MEASURES, AT BERESFIELD	211
TABLE 6.9.	MATRIX OF SPEARMAN'S RANK CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS BETWEEN DAILY CONCENTRATIONS OF AMBIENT AIR QUALITY MEASURES AND METEOROLOGICAL MEASURES, AT NORTH LAKE MACQUARIE	212
TABLE 7.1.	RESULTS OF FITTING UNIVARIABLE LINEAR REGRESSION MODELS CONTAINING PM10 AND CLIMATE-RELATED VARIABLES. THE DEPENDENT VARIABLE IN ALL MODELS WAS MEAN STANDARDISED EVENING PEAK FLOW DEVIATION (L/MIN). MAYFIELD ONLY.....	218
TABLE 7.2.	RESULTS OF FITTING A MULTIVARIABLE LINEAR REGRESSION MODEL CONTAINING PM10 AND CLIMATE-RELATED VARIABLES. THE DEPENDENT VARIABLE WAS MEAN STANDARDISED EVENING PEAK FLOW DEVIATION (L/MIN). MAYFIELD ONLY	219
TABLE 7.3.	LOG-LIKELIHOOD, LIKELIHOOD RATIO TEST STATISTIC, DEGREE OF FREEDOM (DF) AND P-VALUE FOR INTERACTIONS OF INTEREST WHEN ADDED TO THE MAIN EFFECTS MODEL. MAYFIELD ONLY	219
TABLE 7.4.	CLIMATE-RELATED COVARIATES WHICH WERE INCLUDED IN ALL PM10 REGRESSION MODELS BECAUSE THEY WERE CONFOUNDERS OR WERE INDEPENDENTLY ASSOCIATED WITH MEAN STANDARDISED EVENING PEAK FLOW DEVIATION (L/MIN).....	220
TABLE 7.5.	THE EFFECT OF A 20 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ INCREASE IN MEAN PM10 ON EVENING PEAK FLOW (L/MIN): AGGREGATE ANALYSIS.....	221

TABLE 7.6. COEFFICIENT OF DETERMINATION FROM MULTIVARIABLE MODELS FITTING MEAN DAILY PM10 AS A FUNCTION OF MEAN STANDARDISED EVENING PEAK FLOW DEVIATION: AGGREGATE ANALYSIS	221
TABLE 7.7. THE EFFECT OF A 20 $\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$ INCREASE IN MEAN PM10 ON EVENING PEAK FLOW (L/MIN): AGGREGATE ANALYSIS	222
TABLE 7.8. THE EFFECT OF A 20 $\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$ INCREASE IN MEAN PM10 ON EVENING PEAK FLOW (L/MIN): KORN-WHITTEMORE ANALYSIS.....	225
TABLE 7.9. THE EFFECT OF A 20 $\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$ INCREASE IN MEAN PM10 ON EVENING PEAK FLOW (L/MIN): MARGINAL (GEE) ANALYSIS	226
TABLE 7.10. THE EFFECT OF A 20 $\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$ INCREASE IN MEAN PM10 ON EVENING PEAK FLOW (L/MIN). RESULTS OF VARIOUS LAG MODELS USING GEE ANALYSIS	228
TABLE 7.11. THE EFFECT OF A 20 $\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$ INCREASE IN MEAN PM10 ON EVENING PEAK FLOW (L/MIN): RESULTS FROM AGGREGATE, KORN-WHITTEMORE AND GEE ANALYSIS	229
TABLE 7.12. CLIMATE-RELATED COVARIATES WHICH WERE INCLUDED IN ALL SULPHUR DIOXIDE REGRESSION MODELS BECAUSE THEY WERE CONFOUNDERS OR WERE INDEPENDENTLY ASSOCIATED WITH MEAN STANDARDISED EVENING PEAK FLOW DEVIATION (L/MIN).....	231
TABLE 7.13. THE EFFECT OF A 1.5 PPHM INCREASE IN MAXIMUM SULPHUR DIOXIDE ON EVENING PEAK FLOW (L/MIN): RESULTS FROM AGGREGATE, KORN-WHITTEMORE AND GEE ANALYSIS	232
TABLE 7.14. CLIMATE-RELATED COVARIATES WHICH WERE INCLUDED IN ALL POLLEN REGRESSION MODELS BECAUSE THEY WERE CONFOUNDERS OR WERE INDEPENDENTLY ASSOCIATED WITH MEAN STANDARDISED EVENING PEAK FLOW DEVIATION (L/MIN).....	234
TABLE 7.15. CLIMATE-RELATED COVARIATES WHICH WERE INCLUDED IN ALL ALTERNARIA REGRESSION MODELS BECAUSE THEY WERE CONFOUNDERS OR WERE INDEPENDENTLY ASSOCIATED WITH MEAN STANDARDISED EVENING PEAK FLOW DEVIATION (L/MIN).....	235
TABLE 7.16. THE EFFECT OF A 30 GRAINS/ M^3 INCREASE IN TOTAL POLLEN ON EVENING PEAK FLOW (L/MIN): RESULTS FROM AGGREGATE, KORN-WHITTEMORE AND GEE ANALYSIS.....	236
TABLE 7.17. THE EFFECT OF A 4 GRAINS/ M^3 INCREASE IN GRASS POLLEN ON EVENING PEAK FLOW (L/MIN): RESULTS FROM AGGREGATE, KORN-WHITTEMORE AND GEE ANALYSIS.....	237

TABLE 7.18. THE EFFECT OF A 3 GRAINS/M ³ INCREASE IN WEED POLLEN ON EVENING PEAK FLOW (L/MIN): RESULTS FROM AGGREGATE, KORN-WHITTEMORE AND GEE ANALYSIS	238
TABLE 7.19. THE EFFECT OF A 10 GRAINS/M ³ INCREASE IN TREE POLLEN ON EVENING PEAK FLOW (L/MIN): RESULTS FROM AGGREGATE, KORN-WHITTEMORE AND GEE ANALYSIS	239
TABLE 7.20. THE EFFECT OF A 6 SPORES/M ³ INCREASE IN ALTERNARIA ON EVENING PEAK FLOW (L/MIN): RESULTS FROM AGGREGATE, KORN-WHITTEMORE AND GEE ANALYSIS	240
TABLE 7.21. THE EFFECT OF A 20 µG/M ³ INCREASE IN 5-DAY AVERAGE PM10 ON EVENING PEAK FLOW (L/MIN), BY SUBJECT CHARACTERISTICS. RESULTS FROM THE AGGREGATE, KORN-WHITTEMORE AND GEE ANALYSIS	242
TABLE 7.22. THE EFFECT OF AN INCREASE IN 5-DAY AVERAGE POLLUTANTS ON EVENING PEAK FLOW (L/MIN) AMONG NON-MEDICATED AND MEDICATED CHILDREN: GEE ANALYSIS	243
TABLE 7.23. THE EFFECT OF A 20 µG/M ³ INCREASE IN 5-DAY AVERAGE PM10 ON EVENING PEAK FLOW (L/MIN) AFTER ADJUSTMENT FOR POTENTIAL CONFOUNDERS: GEE ANALYSIS	245
TABLE 7.24. THE EFFECT OF 20 µG/M ³ INCREASE IN 5-DAY AVERAGE PM10 ON EVENING PEAK FLOW (L/MIN): GEE ANALYSIS. STRATIFICATION BY VARIOUS TIME-VARYING COVARIATES	246
TABLE 7.25. THE EFFECT OF A 20 µG/M ³ INCREASE IN 5-DAY AVERAGE PM10 ON EVENING PEAK FLOW (L/MIN) IN SINGLE-POLLUTANT AND MULTI-POLLUTANT MODELS: GEE ANALYSIS	247
TABLE 8.1. THE EFFECT OF A 20 µG/M ³ INCREASE IN MEAN PM10 ON DAY COUGH PREVALENCE: RESULTS FROM AGGREGATE, KORN-WHITTEMORE AND GEE ANALYSIS	251
TABLE 8.2. THE EFFECT OF A 1.5 PPHM INCREASE IN MAXIMUM SULPHUR DIOXIDE ON DAY COUGH PREVALENCE: RESULTS FROM AGGREGATE, KORN-WHITTEMORE AND GEE ANALYSIS	253
TABLE 9.1. DESCRIPTION OF ASTHMA MEDICATION USE BY THE 239 CHILDREN ELIGIBLE FOR THE NON-AGGREGATE ANALYSIS	256
TABLE 9.2. THE EFFECT OF A 20 µG/M ³ INCREASE IN MEAN PM10 ON PREVALENCE OF BRONCHODILATOR USE: RESULTS FROM AGGREGATE, KORN-WHITTEMORE AND GEE ANALYSIS	258

TABLE 9.3. THE EFFECT OF A 1.5 PPHM INCREASE IN MAXIMUM SULPHUR DIOXIDE ON PREVALENCE OF BRONCHODILATOR USE: RESULTS FROM AGGREGATE, KORN-WHITEMORE AND GEE ANALYSIS.....	259
TABLE 10.1. THE EFFECT OF A 20 $\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$ INCREASE IN PM10 ON RESPIRATORY OUTCOMES, OVERALL AND AMONG NON-MEDICATED AND MEDICATED CHILDREN	262
TABLE 10.2. ANNUAL AVERAGE PM10 IN VARIOUS CITIES, FROM TIME SERIES MORTALITY STUDIES PUBLISHED 1985 TO 2000	266
TABLE 10.3. ANNUAL AVERAGE SULPHUR DIOXIDE CONCENTRATIONS IN VARIOUS CITIES COMPARED TO NEWCASTLE. FROM THE GLOBAL ENVIRONMENT MONITORING SYSTEM NETWORK.....	268
TABLE 10.4. THE EFFECT OF A 20 $\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$ INCREASE IN 5-DAY AVERAGE PM10 ON RESPIRATORY OUTCOMES: RESULTS FROM AGGREGATE, KORN-WHITEMORE AND GEE ANALYSIS	284

Abstract

Numerous overseas studies have linked both short and long-term exposures to outdoor air pollution to a range of health effects. The differences in air pollution sources, climate and geography in Australia challenged the generalisability of these overseas findings to the Australian setting. In response, the Hunter Illawarra Study of Airways and Air Pollution (HISAAP) was undertaken. The aim of Phase II of HISAAP was to assess the short-term effects of particulates on respiratory health amongst symptomatic children.

This thesis presents the results of an analysis of the 345 primary school children eligible for Phase II of the Hunter component of HISAAP. There were multiple daily diary measures on each child, different types of outcomes such as continuous, dichotomous and count variables, as well as several sources of exposure data on pollutants. Because of the complex and hierarchical nature of data, there are several possible methods of analyses that could be used. The thesis begins with a description of the sampling methods used in the study. Next, an overview of the literature on the relationship between air pollution and respiratory health, followed by a review of the methods of analyses appropriate for longitudinal diary studies of this nature. The methods and results are then presented for the analyses of the association between the three main outcomes of interest – evening peak flow, day cough and bronchodilator use – and air quality variables: particulates (PM10 and TSP), sulphur dioxide, pollens and fungi, using three modelling approaches. These include a representative of data reduction methods (Aggregate analysis), subject-specific or mixed-model methods (Korn-Whittemore analysis) and marginal methods (Generalised Estimating Equations). All estimates were adjusted for climate-related covariates and trend. The final chapter discusses the advantages and disadvantages of the various methods of analyses, and a recommendation for analytic techniques for further studies.

Glossary of abbreviations

The following key abbreviations are used in this thesis:

	Abbreviation	Description
	5-day average	average concentration over the current and previous 4 days
	6d-TSP	total suspended particulates as a daily load on a 6-day rotating basis
A	AIC	Akaike's Information Criterion
	ANSTO	Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation
	ASP	Aerosol Sampling Project
	APHEA	Air Pollution and Health a European Approach
	AR-1	autoregressive process of order one
B	B	Beresfield
	BHP	Broken Hill Proprietary
	BHPQ	BHP Quality Program
	BS	black smoke
C	CI	confidence interval
	COPD	chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
	CV	coefficient of variation
D	df	degrees of freedom
E	EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
	EPF	evening peak flow
F	FEV ₁	forced expiratory volume in one second
	FVC	forced vital capacity
	FEV ₁ /FVC	ratio of the forced expiratory volume in 1 second to the forced vital capacity
G	GAM	generalised additive model
	GEE	generalised estimating equations
	GEE-2	second order generalised estimating equations
	GLM	generalised linear model
	GLMM	generalised linear mixed model

Glossary of abbreviations continued (H to M)

	Abbreviation	Description
H	hr	hour
	H ⁺	hydrogen ion
	H ₂ S	hydrogen sulphide
	H ₂ SO ₄	sulphuric acid
	HARP	Health and Air Research Program
	HISAAP	Hunter Illawarra Study of Airways and Air Pollution
	HVRF	Hunter Valley Research Foundation
	HVS	high volume sampler
I	ICC	intraclass correlation coefficient
	IQR	interquartile range
	ISAAC	International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood
K	KINAS	Kooragang and Inner Newcastle Airshed Study
	K-W	Korn-Whittemore
L	L/min	Litres per minute
	Lag x	A single day lag of order x days (e.g. lag 1)
	LMCC	Lake Macquarie City Council
	LRT	lower respiratory tract
M	µg/m ³	micrograms per cubic metre
	µm	micrometre
	M	Mayfield
	MAQS	Metropolitan Air Quality Study
	MAR	missing at random
	MCAR	missing completely at random
	MLE	maximum likelihood estimation

Glossary of abbreviations continued (N to R)

	Abbreviation	Description
N	n.a.	not applicable
	NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
	NCC	Newcastle City Council
	NCICAS	National Cooperative Inner-City Asthma Study
	NEPM	National Environment Protection Council
	NHMRC	National Health and Medical Research Council
	NLM	North Lake Macquarie
	NO	nitric oxide
	NO ₂	nitrogen dioxide
	NO _x	nitrogen oxide
	NH ₄	ammonium
O	O ₃	ozone
	OR	odds ratio
P	PA	population-averaged
	PACF	partial autocorrelation function
	PDL	polynomial distributed lag
	PEACE	Pollution Effects on Asthmatic Children in Europe
	PEF(R)	peak expiratory flow (rate)
	PM	particulate matter
	PM _x	Particulate matter less than x micrometres in diameter (e.g. PM _{2.5} , PM ₁₀)
	PMS	Pasminco Metals-Sulphide
	pphm	parts per hundred million
R	PQL	partial quasi-likelihood
	REML	restricted maximum likelihood
	R ²	coefficient of determination

Glossary of abbreviations continued (S to Z)

	Abbreviation	Description
S	S	Stockton
	sd	standard deviation
	SE	standard error
	SO ₂	sulphur dioxide
	SO ₄	sulphate
	SS	subject-specific
	SSI	size-selective inlet
T	TEOM	Tapered Element Oscillating Microbalance
	TSP	total suspended particulates
U	URT	upper respiratory tract
	UK	United Kingdom
	USA	United States of America
W	W	Wallsend
	WHO	World Health Organization

Description of study areas

This thesis was based on a study conducted in five areas in the Hunter Region of NSW, Australia. Three of these areas were close to industrial sources of air pollution, and two served as control areas. Subjects in Wave 1 completed a diary from February to October 1994 and subjects in Wave 2 completed diaries from August 1994 to April 1995.

Study area	Exposed to industrial sources of air pollution?	Wave
Stockton	Yes	1
Wallsend	No	1
Mayfield	Yes	1
Beresfield	No	2
North Lake Macquarie	Yes	2